



11

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/583,342

Applicant(s)

Bushman

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634

·		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	,
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)	X
(2) Robin Chadwick	(4)	
Date of Interview Jan 28, 2003	_	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	1
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description	on:
Claim(s) discussed: All	-	
Identification of prior art discussed: All		
of 102(a) rejection and to sclaims (experially method clarify of claims may clarify 102(a) rejection. The application with claims.	and advised almodify the language of the inventory of the amendments that wo rate record of the substance of the AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LASEP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	bout the basis mage of the The vamendment problem to withdraw and and and will be considered and and and and and and ed would render the claims and render the claims allowable is the interview (if box is checked). ST OFFICE ACTION MUST the last Office action has TILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required